

**TL;DR:** We introduce a rewiring framework for directed graphs that leverages **shortcut sets** to address long-range dependencies and **edge connectivity** to alleviate oversquashing, while preserving the graph's inherent asymmetries and reachability constraints.

## Directed GNNs

- Dir-GNN [1]: directed message passing (in, out edges)
- Information bottlenecks
  - Long-range dependency
  - Oversquashing
- Most **prior rewiring strategies** focus on **undirected** graphs and many are based on **spectral theory**, which is less well-defined for directed graphs.

## Shortcut set-based rewiring

### d-Shortcut Set

Given a directed graph  $G = (V, E)$ , a  $d$ -shortcut set is a set of edges  $S$  such that the augmented graph  $H = (V, E \cup S)$  **preserves the same reachability** as  $G$ , and for every reachable pair  $u, v \in V$ , there exists a path from  $u$  to  $v$  in  $H$  of length **at most  $d$** .

### $\sqrt{n}$ -sampling algorithm

Guarantees  $d = O(\sqrt{n})$  with  $O(n)$  additional edges:

- Sample a landmark set  $L \subseteq V$  with node probability  $1/\sqrt{n}$ .
- Construct a complete graph  $G' = (L, L \times L)$ .
- For each edge  $(a, b) \in L \times L$ , if they are reachable in  $G$ , then we add  $(a, b)$  to the shortcut set  $S$ .

### Shortcut set-based rewiring

- **Reduces diameter** to tackle long-range dependency.
- **Preserve reachability** constraints to model asymmetries.
- The linear edge budget ensures **efficiency**.

## Edge connectivity-based rewiring

### Edge connectivity

Let  $\lambda(u, v)$  be the edge connectivity from node  $u$  to node  $v$ ,

$$\lambda(u, v) = \min_{E' \subseteq E} \{ |E'| : u \not\rightarrow v \text{ in } G = (V, E \setminus E') \}$$

In words: the minimum number of edges whose **removal destroys all directed paths** from  $u$  to  $v$ .

### Scalable version: $l$ -hop edge connectivity

- Given a budget  $k$  edges to rewire, we sample  $K \gg k$  pairs of nodes  $u, v \in V$ .
- Compute the  $l$ -hop neighborhood of  $u$ , where  $l$  is number of MPNN layers, to preserve locality.
- Retain  $u, v$  where  $v$  is reachable from  $u$ .
- Compute the edge connectivity of  $(u, v)$  within the  $l$ -hop neighborhood.
- Choose  $k$  pairs with lowest edge connectivity to rewire.

### Edge connectivity-based rewiring

- Edge connectivity **directly targets bottlenecks**, as it measures the number of independent information paths.
- Low connectivity indicates **higher risk of oversquashing**.
- Rewire within  $l$ -hop neighborhoods for improving **scalability** and preserving **locality**.

## Relation types

Rewiring augments the graph with new edges that play different roles: **original edges** preserve structural information, while **rewired edges** improve connectivity.

Relational GNNs (R-GNNs) [2] assign separate parameters to **different edge types**:

$$\mathbf{h}_v^{(k+1)} = \phi_k \left( \mathbf{h}_v^{(k)}, \sum_{r \in \mathcal{R}} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{N}_r(v)} \psi_{k,r} \left( \mathbf{h}_u^{(k)}, \mathbf{h}_v^{(k)} \right) \right),$$

where  $r \in \mathcal{R}$  denotes a relation type, and we assign  $r = 0$  to original edges and  $r = 1$  to rewired edges.

## Experiments

### Synthetic experiments

We design three tasks to specifically target long-range dependency and oversquashing in directed graphs:

- Cycle detection (Cycle)
- Reachability (Reach.)
- Topological sort (Topo.)

**Table 1:** Performance of rewiring strategies on synthetic datasets (mean  $\pm$  std). Best in **red**, second best in **blue**.

| Method    | Cycle                              | Reach.                             | Topo.                             |
|-----------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| None      | 68.46 $\pm$ 1.65                   | 71.53 $\pm$ 6.93                   | 2.75 $\pm$ 0.64                   |
| SDRF      | 68.18 $\pm$ 2.11                   | 74.01 $\pm$ 4.93                   | 2.51 $\pm$ 1.04                   |
| Shortcut  | 68.29 $\pm$ 2.18                   | <b>75.28 <math>\pm</math> 8.85</b> | <b>3.01 <math>\pm</math> 1.09</b> |
| Greedy EC | <b>69.09 <math>\pm</math> 3.10</b> | <b>77.12 <math>\pm</math> 7.23</b> | <b>3.16 <math>\pm</math> 0.45</b> |

### Real-world experiments

We evaluate on two real-world heterophilic webpage graphs (Chameleon, Squirrel). We also include a Combined strategy that applies shortcut set and edge connectivity-based rewiring jointly.

**Table 2:** Performance of different rewiring strategies on real-world datasets (mean  $\pm$  std). The best is highlighted in **red** and the second best is highlighted in **blue**.

| Dataset   | Model    | None             | SDRF                               | Shortcut Set                       | Greedy EC                          | Combined                           |
|-----------|----------|------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Chameleon | Dir-GCN  | 78.88 $\pm$ 2.25 | 79.17 $\pm$ 1.55                   | <b>79.60 <math>\pm</math> 1.36</b> | <b>79.23 <math>\pm</math> 2.13</b> | 78.96 $\pm$ 1.69                   |
|           | Dir-SAGE | 58.37 $\pm$ 1.94 | 58.91 $\pm$ 1.91                   | <b>58.93 <math>\pm</math> 1.79</b> | <b>59.56 <math>\pm</math> 1.91</b> | 58.29 $\pm$ 1.19                   |
|           | Dir-GAT  | 63.33 $\pm$ 2.17 | <b>64.32 <math>\pm</math> 2.31</b> | 63.46 $\pm$ 2.10                   | <b>64.91 <math>\pm</math> 3.34</b> | 63.77 $\pm$ 3.04                   |
| Squirrel  | Dir-GCN  | 73.45 $\pm$ 1.25 | 74.23 $\pm$ 1.19                   | 74.59 $\pm$ 1.82                   | <b>75.02 <math>\pm</math> 1.58</b> | <b>74.78 <math>\pm</math> 1.92</b> |
|           | Dir-SAGE | 45.92 $\pm$ 2.02 | 46.09 $\pm$ 2.98                   | <b>46.74 <math>\pm</math> 1.99</b> | <b>46.54 <math>\pm</math> 2.63</b> | 46.42 $\pm$ 2.70                   |
|           | Dir-GAT  | 65.82 $\pm$ 2.90 | 66.34 $\pm$ 2.31                   | 65.92 $\pm$ 2.42                   | <b>66.52 <math>\pm</math> 2.19</b> | <b>66.32 <math>\pm</math> 2.21</b> |

### Outlook

- **Many real-world systems** inherently require **asymmetry**.
- **Directionality** remains **underexplored** in graph learning, with many open questions.
- Need for **dedicated benchmarks** on directed graphs addressing long-range dependency and oversquashing.
- Need for **better architectures for rewiring** that adapt between original and modified edges.

[1] Rossi et al., Edge directionality improves learning on heterophilic graphs. LoG 2023.

[2] Battaglia et al., Relational inductive biases, deep learning, and graph networks. 2018.

